

Renewal Project Scorecard		
Project Name _____		
Agency: _____		
Project Type: _____		
Evaluator _____		
CoC Threshold Requirements		
Program participates in CES.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project utilizes a Housing First and/or Low Barrier approach.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project has documented minimum match.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project provided a budget and budget narrative.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Agency has provided an organizational audit/financial review.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>If answered "yes" to all of the above, continue to the rating section below.</i>		
Project Rating by CoC		
	Points Earned	Points Available
I. Submission Requirements		
1. Timely submission of project application packets in accordance with RFP requirements.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
2. Timely submission of project application and all attachments in e-snaps.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	2
II. CoC Monitoring		
1. Timely drawdown of most recent grant expenditures with time expense ratio is ≤10% (% of term expired minus % funds disbursed).	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
2. ≥90% of program entries and exits were entered into HMIS within 3 days.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	8
Project Rating by External Evaluator		
	Points Earned	Points Available
III. Project Description		
1. Describes program, including goals, services provided, and target population.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
IV. Project Alignment with Policy & CoC Priorities		
1. Degree to which program implements a Housing First approach or philosophy.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	3

2. Program advances racial equity in homelessness service provision (including degree to which program racial demographics reflect overall homeless population racial breakdown).	<input type="text"/>	3
3. Program considers individuals with lived experience in program design, planning, implementation, evaluation, etc.	<input type="text"/>	3
4. Program works to improve assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals.	<input type="text"/>	3
5. Program demonstrates cost-effectiveness.	<input type="text"/>	3
6. Program works with stakeholders from health, housing, and social services to meet client needs.	<input type="text"/>	3
7. Project addresses HUD's six pillars--including three foundations (equity, data, collaboration) and will employ at least one solution (housing & supports, crisis response, and prevention).	<input type="text"/>	3
8. Degree to which program addresses unsheltered homelessness.	<input type="text"/>	3
9. Describes how the agency will ensure project staff retention (e.g., paying a living wage, offering mental health supports, etc.)	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	27

V. Performance Measures

Time to Placement		
1. On average, time from project entry to residential placement is 15 days (RRH), 30 days (DV RRH), or 180 days (PSH & TH).	<input type="text"/>	8
Exits to Permanent Housing		
2. ≥90% of participants remain in or move to permanent housing.	<input type="text"/>	8
Recidivism		
3. ≤15% of participants who exited to permanent housing return to homelessness within 12 months of exit.	<input type="text"/>	5
New or Increased Income		
4.a. At least 8% of project leavers received new or increased income (from any source).	<input type="text"/>	1
4.b. At least 8 % of project stayers received new or increased income (from any source).	<input type="text"/>	1
Project Cost-Effectiveness		
5. Describes how the project has assessed and will assess project outcomes.	<input type="text"/>	4
6. Costs per positive housing exit (total budget with match/#persons exited to positive locations or still in program) is reasonable for program type.	<input type="text"/>	4
Bed/Utilization Rate		
7. Bed/utilization rate has been at or above 90% at each quarter.	<input type="text"/>	3

Subtotal		34
VI. Equity Factors		
Agency Factors		
1.a. Agency has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions.		1
1.b. Agency has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers.		1
2.a. Agency's Board of Directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience.		1
2.b. Agency has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience.		1
Program Factors		
3.a. Program has reviewed participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, etc.		1
3.b. Program has identified programmatic changes need to make participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes.		1
Subtotal		6
VII. HUD Monitoring		
1. Any HUD monitoring findings and corrective action were minimal.		5
Subtotal		5
VIII. CoC Participation and Contribution to System Performance		
1. Agency participates in PIC--e.g., serving on the Board of Directors, committees, and /or workgroups--and collaborates with PIC members.		4
2. Describes how project fits system needs and fits with CoC and HUD policy priorities.		3
Subtotal		7
IX. Financial Performance		
1. Most recent agency financial audit found no exceptions or findings.		3
2. Budget costs are within local average for project type.		3
Subtotal		6
Total		100